ARLINGTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS

In accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts General laws, Chapter 30A, Section 20, notice is hereby given for the following meeting of the:

Arlington School Committee Standing Subcommittee: Superintendent Search Process Committee Friday, May 22, 2020 10:00 AM

Conducted by Remote Participation

https://www.mass.gov/doc/order-suspending-certain-provision-of-open-meeting-law/download

Open Meeting Hi there,

You are invited to a Zoom webinar.

When: May 22, 2020 10:00 AM Eastern Time (US and Canada)

Topic: Superintendent's Search Process

Register in advance for this webinar: https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN S6a2UpYNQ06GDhtRWO XOg

After registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the webinar.

Public Comment

Members of the public are asked to send written comment to kfitzgerald@arlington.k12.ma.us or can register to speak via the webinar by emailing kfitzgerald@arlington.k12.ma.us by 9:00 am on Friday, May 22, 2020.

Approval of Minutes, May 6, 2020

Approval of Minutes of January 15, 2020

Financial terms of a potential consulting agreement with the Massachusetts Association of School Committees

Overview of the search process and next steps, including remote focus groups and surveys

Adjournment

The listings of matters are those reasonably anticipated by the Chair, which may be discussed at the meeting. Not all items listed may in fact be discussed and other items not listed may also be brought up for discussion to the extent permitted by law.

Stated times and time amounts, listed in parenthesis, are the estimated amount of time for that particular agenda item. Actual times may be shorter or longer depending on the time needed to fully explore the topic.

Submitted by Paul Schlichtman, Chair

Massachusetts law requires all open session meetings of public bodies to be accessible to members of the public, including those with disabilities. If you need reasonable accommodations in order to participate in the meeting, contact the Administrative Assistant to the Arlington School Committee Karen Fitzgerald at kfitzgerald@arlington.k12.ma.us in advance of the meeting.



Meeting Location

Summary:

Conducted by Remote Participation

https://www.mass.gov/doc/order-suspending-certain-provision-of-open-meeting-law/download



Open Meeting

Summary:

Hi there,

You are invited to a Zoom webinar.

When: May 22, 2020 10:00 AM Eastern Time (US and Canada)

Topic: Superintendent's Search Process

Register in advance for this webinar:

https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_S6a2UpYNQ06GDhtRWO_XOg

After registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the webinar.



Public Comment

Summary:

Members of the public are asked to send written comment to kfitzgerald@arlington.k12.ma.us or can register to speak via the webinar by emailing kfitzgerald@arlington.k12.ma.us by 9:00 am on Friday, May 22, 2020.



Approval of Minutes, May 6, 2020

ATTACHMENTS:

Type File Name Description

Superintendent Search Process minutes May 6, 2020 Superintendent_Search_Process_050620_(1).pdf Minutes

Arlington School Committee Standing Subcommittee: Superintendent Search Process Committee Wednesday, May 6, 2020 12:30 p.m.

Meeting conducted by remote participation.
The meeting was recorded.

Draft Minutes

The meeting was called to order at 12:30 p.m.

Kirsi Allison-Ampe read the Governor's order suspending certain provisions of the Open Meeting Law.

A roll call was taken to establish remote presence in the meeting Paul Schlichtman, chair Kirsi Allison Ampe, member Jennifer Susse, member Michael Mason, Chief Financial Officer

Public Comment:

No public comment was submitted.

Disclosure:

Mr. Schlichtman disclosed that he is a past president of the Massachusetts Association of School Committees. His term as a member of the Board of Directors ended on December 31, 2005.

Evaluating the Non-Price (technical) Proposals for a Superintendent Search:

Mr. Schlichtman noted there were two technical proposals that would be reviewed:

- Massachusetts Association of School Committees (MASC), Boston MA.
- Sunshine Enterprise USA, Maitland FL.

Mr. Schlichtman noted that the subcommittee was not permitted to see the price proposals before the review of the technical proposals was complete.

Mr. Mason said it was likely that the price proposals would be under the state threshold of \$50,000, and the rules for supply and service contracts under \$50,000 would apply.

Mr. Schlichtman explained the options before the subcommittee.

- It could recommend one of the two technical proposals to the full committee.
- It could review the two technical proposals and recommend moving on to interviewing two respondents.
- It could recommend discarding the technical proposals in order to submit a new Request for Proposals.

• It could retain the current proposals and request others.

Members of the subcommittee discussed the sense of the full school committee, as expressed at the last school committee meeting, to move forward by evaluating the proposals as submitted.

Mr. Schlichtman called the subcommittee's attention to the ratings sheets that corresponded to the criteria for evaluation of the technical proposals from the Request for Proposals. As the interviews scheduled for March were cancelled due to the state of emergency, Mr. Schlichtman said we would not be evaluating Criteria 4, Evaluation of Interview Presentation.

The members of the subcommittee offered their ratings using the remaining evaluation criteria:

1. Experience

- Dr. Allison-Ampe rated MASC 3: Highly Advantageous.
- Dr. Susse rated MASC 3: Highly Advantageous.
- Mr. Schlichtman rated MASC 3: Highly Advantageous.
- Dr. Allison-Ampe rated Sunshine 1: Not Advantageous.
- Dr. Susse rated Sunshine 1: Not Advantageous.
- Mr. Schlichtman rated Sunshine 1: Not Advantageous.

2. Evaluation of the Proposed Plan

- Dr. Allison-Ampe rated MASC 3: Highly Advantageous.
- Dr. Susse rated MASC 2: Advantageous.
- Mr. Schlichtman rated MASC 2: Advantageous.
- Dr. Allison-Ampe rated Sunshine 1: Not Advantageous.
- Dr. Susse rated Sunshine 1: Not Advantageous.
- Mr. Schlichtman rated Sunshine 1: Not Advantageous.

3. Proposer's Recruitment Materials

- Dr. Allison-Ampe rated MASC 2: Advantageous.
- Dr. Susse rated MASC o: Unacceptable.
- Mr. Schlichtman rated MASC 2: Advantageous.
- Dr. Allison-Ampe rated Sunshine o: Unacceptable.
- Dr. Susse rated Sunshine o: Unacceptable.
- Mr. Schlichtman rated Sunshine o: Unacceptable.

4. Evaluation of Interview Presentation

Not Evaluated

5. Presentation

- Dr. Allison-Ampe rated MASC 2: Advantageous.
- Dr. Susse rated MASC 2: Advantageous.
- Mr. Schlichtman rated MASC 2: Advantageous.
- Dr. Allison-Ampe rated Sunshine o: Unacceptable.
- Dr. Susse rated Sunshine o: Unacceptable.
- Mr. Schlichtman rated Sunshine 1: Not Advantageous.

Mr. Schlichtman offered a summary of the categories, based on the committee ratings:

- 1. **Experience:** The summary rating for MASC is 3: Highly Advantageous. The summary rating for Sunshine is 1: Not Advantageous.
- 2. **Evaluation of the Proposed Plan:** The summary rating for MASC is 2: Advantageous. The summary rating for Sunshine is 1: Not Advantageous.
- 3. **Proposer's Recruitment Materials:** The summary rating for MASC is 2: Advantageous. The summary rating for Sunshine is 0: Unacceptable.
- 4. Evaluation of Interview Presentation: Not Evaluated
- 5. **Presentation:** The summary rating for MASC is 2: Advantageous. The summary rating for Sunshine is 0: Unacceptable.

Members of the committee offered their **overall ratings** of the two proposals:

Dr. Allison-Ampe rated MASC 2: Advantageous.

Dr. Susse rated MASC 2: Advantageous.

Mr. Schlichtman rated MASC 2: Advantageous.

Dr. Allison-Ampe rated Sunshine o: Unacceptable.

Dr. Susse rated Sunshine o: Unacceptable.

Mr. Schlichtman rated Sunshine 1: Not Advantageous.

On a **motion** by Dr. Allison-Ampe, **seconded** by Dr. Susse, it was **voted** to report to the Arlington School Committee that the Superintendent Search Process Committee found the MASC proposal to be Advantageous, and the Sunshine proposal to be Unacceptable. Roll Call (3-0):

Allison-Ampe Yes Susse Yes Schlichtman Yes

On a **motion** by Dr. Allison-Ampe, **seconded** by Dr. Susse, it was **voted** to report to the Arlington School Committee that the Superintendent Search Process Committee recommends the full school committee vote to accept the proposal from the Massachusetts Association of School Committees, and move forward with the intent of engaging MASC as our consultant. Roll Call (3-0):

Allison-Ampe Yes Susse Yes Schlichtman Yes

On a **motion** by Dr. Allison-Ampe, **seconded** by Dr. Susse, it was **voted** to adjourn at 1:05 p.m. Roll Call (3-0):

Allison-Ampe Yes Susse Yes Schlichtman Yes



Approval of Minutes of January 15, 2020

ATTACHMENTS:

	Type	File Name	Description
ם	Minutes	Superintendent_Search_Process_Subommittee_011520.docs	Supt Search Process draft

Arlington School Committee Superintendent Search Process Subcommittee Wednesday, January 15, 2020 5:15 p.m.

Arlington High SchoolSchool Committee Room869 Massachusetts Avenue, 6th FloorArlington, MA

DRAFT MINUTES

The meeting was called to order at 5:20 p.m.

Present: Paul Schlichtman, subcommittee chair Jennifer Susse, subcommittee member Kirsi Allison-Ampe, subcommittee member Bill Hayner, school committee member

Public Comment:

None

On a **motion** by Dr. Susse, **seconded** by Mr. Schlichtman, it was **voted** to approve the minutes of October 28, 2019. (2-0-1) Dr. Allison-Ampe abstained.

On a **motion** by Dr. Susse, **seconded** by Dr. Allison-Ampe, it was **voted** to approve the minutes of October 28, 2019. (3-0)

The subcommittee examined the proposed Request for Proposals for a search consultant. The subcommittee examined the document, paragraph by paragraph, and made edits based on a consensus of the subcommittee members.

On a **motion** by Dr. Susse, **seconded** by Dr. Allison-Ampe, it was **voted** to approve the Request for Proposals, as amended. (3-0)

On a **motion** by Dr. Susse, **seconded** by Dr. Allison-Ampe, it was **voted** to adjourn at 7:07 p.m. (3-0)

Scope of Services

The Arlington School Committee is seeking a collaborative executive search firm (Consultant) to work with the School Committee and the community in recruiting a new Superintendent of Schools. The contract will begin when approved by the School Committee.

Posting of the Superintendent position and the collection of applications shall begin no later than September 18, 2020, with a closing date no later than October 16, 2020, unless mutually agreed by the School Committee and the Consultant. The final School Committee vote to hire the Superintendent shall take place no later than December 17, 2020, unless mutually agreed by the School Committee and the Consultant. This contract will continue until all negotiations with the successful superintendent candidate are completed and the employment contract is signed.

Scope of Work - Overview

1. Best practices:

The Consultant shall work collaboratively to support actions taken by the Arlington School Committee and/or a Superintendent Search Committee formed by the School Committee, and its representatives, in hiring our next public school superintendent.

2. Developing the Candidate Selection Criteria:

- **a**. The Consultant shall assist the School Committee and/or the Superintendent Search Committee in defining the leadership needs of the district and in establishing selection criteria for a new superintendent by soliciting input from the School Committee, parents, staff, administration, Town officials, and the community through focus groups, interviews, and an online survey.
- **b.** If requested by the School Committee and/or the Superintendent Search Committee, the Consultant shall review the current job description for the position of Superintendent of Schools, and recommend revisions subject to School Committee approval.
- **c.** The Consultant shall organize, publicize, and facilitate at least five (5) and up to ten (10) focus groups to gather input on the type of leadership to be sought from the next Superintendent from all stakeholders in the community, including, but not limited to, school department employees (including educators), elected and appointed town government officials, parents, students, community partners, and other interested residents of Arlington.

- **d.** The Consultant shall conduct at least five (5) and up to ten (10) individual or small group interviews with individuals specifically identified by the School Committee and/or the Superintendent Search Committee.
- **e.** The Consultant shall conduct an online survey approved by the School Committee and/or the Superintendent Search Committee to collect broad community input for those not able to attend or not invited to a specific focus group.

3. Search Process Management:

a. The Consultant shall work collaboratively with the School Committee and/or Superintendent Search Committee to ensure that Arlington obtains the best candidate to meet our community and district expectations and priorities, both for today and in the future.

b. Timeline:

- i. The Consultant shall work with the School Committee and/or Superintendent Search Committee to develop the Superintendent search schedule and timeline. (The timeline will be developed in the context of the Committee's parameters, in which the Superintendent position and the collection of applications shall begin no later than September 18, 2020, with a closing date no later than October 16, 2020, unless mutually agreed by the School Committee and the Consultant. The final School Committee vote to hire the Superintendent shall take place no later than December 17, 2020, unless mutually agreed by the School Committee and the Consultant.)
- ii. The Consultant shall recommend and coordinate advertising, including its publication, frequency, and duration. Advertising costs are not to be included in the proposal price.
- iii. The Consultant shall develop the application package, including submission requirements and timelines.
- iv. The Consultant shall ensure the process is designed to attract a national pool of highly-qualified and diverse candidates.

4. Communication

- **a.** The Consultant shall work collaboratively with the School Committee and the Superintendent Search Committee throughout the process, and keep the School Committee and the Superintendent Search Committee informed about what is occurring at each stage of the search process.
- **b.** The Consultant shall meet with the School Committee and/or Superintendent Search Committee throughout the search and provide regular progress reports.
- **c.** The Consultant shall coordinate or provide the school district with an up-to-date and maintained web page and, if requested by the School Committee and/or the

Superintendent Search Committee, communicate through social media any necessary community information and updates about the search process.

- **d.** The Consultant shall finalize for publication a written summary of the synthesis of communicated skills and experiences generated from focus group and community input. If requested by the School Committee and/or the Superintendent Search Committee, such summary will be communicated to potential candidates.
- **e.** The Consultant shall advise the School Committee and the Superintendent Search Committee regarding best practices pertaining to the use of social media during the selection process.

5. Recruitment Process

- **a.** The Consultant shall ensure that the search process is transparent, thorough, and will engage as many stakeholders as feasibly possible in order to best represent and engage our diverse professional, parent, educator, and resident community.
- **b.** The Consultant shall present findings to the School Committee of selection criteria recommendations from the data gathered.
- **c.** The Consultant shall assist the School Committee and/or Superintendent Search Committee in developing descriptive documents (i.e. brochures and electronic materials) for prospective applicants, including requirements, submissions, and timelines.
- **d.** The Consultant shall conduct direct and indirect search recruitment activities via networking and advertising publications in order to generate a national pool of high-quality, diverse applicants. A racially and demographically diverse candidate pool is essential.
- **e.** The Consultant shall determine methods of direct recruiting, including professional networks.

6. Candidate Screening:

- **a.** The Consultant shall prescreen and verify submitted application materials for completeness and to ensure that all candidates meet all requirements. The Consultant shall recommend a minimum number of candidates required for a viable search. The School Committee reserves the right to designate a School Committee member to review any and all applications.
- **b.** After reviewing submitted applications, the Consultant shall determine with the School Committee and/or Superintendent Search Committee the number of initial screening candidates (those invited for interviews with the Superintendent Search Committee) and the number of finalists (those invited for interviews with the School Committee).
- **c.** The Consultant shall verify credentials and conduct extensive reference checks of finalists prior to announcing the names of candidates to the community.

7. Selection Process

- **a.** The Consultant shall assist the School Committee and the Superintendent Search Committee through screenings and interviews.
- **b.** The Consultant shall assist the School Committee with the assembly of the Superintendent Search Committee and community members involved in the selection process. To fulfill this requirement, the Consultant shall:
 - i. assist in recruiting a diverse body of community members to participate in the selection process to the extent determined by the School Committee and/or the Superintendent Search Committee. The consultant shall prepare a report of aggregate demographic characteristics and other data pertaining to the pool of applicants who wish to serve on the Superintendent Search Committee.
 - ii. conduct an orientation and interview training of all persons (pertinent laws, regulations, and policies) participating in the selection process.
- **c.** The Consultant shall be responsible for all scheduling related to the work of the Superintendent Search Committee, and will ensure that their work is completed in a timely manner.
- **d.** The Consultant shall provide support for the Superintendent Search Committee. This will include reviewing candidate paperwork, assisting with the development of interview questions and a scoring rubric, conducting interviews, and identifying finalists who will be advanced to the full School Committee.

8. Final Candidate Selection Process:

- **a.** The Consultant shall assist the School Committee and/or the Superintendent Search Committee in the final selection process, along with any additional support the Superintendent Search Committee may need.
- **b.** The consultant shall arrange to begin finalist interviews no later than November 30, 2020, unless a different date is mutually agreed by the Consultant and the School Committee.
- **c.** The consultant shall schedule candidate site visits and Arlington community introductions for the finalists.
- d. The consultant shall manage interviews of finalists with the full School Committee. This will include reviewing candidates' paperwork, assisting with the development of interview questions and a scoring rubric, and conducting interviews.
- **e.** The consultant shall manage reference checking and site visits to place of employment of finalists.

9. Candidate Negotiations and Employment Offer:

a. The consultant shall assist the School Committee in managing the preferred candidate offer, negotiation, and development of employment contract.

- **b.** The consultant shall provide recommendations to the School Committee for an appropriate compensation and benefit package, based on market study.
- **c.** The School Committee will develop and negotiate the contract of employment.

10. Candidate Retention:

After the selected superintendent has the opportunity to become acclimated to his or her new position, and if requested by the School Committee and/or the Superintendent Search Committee, the consultant shall provide eight hours of team building workshop(s) with the superintendent, administrative team, and School Committee. The goal of this workshop shall be to define roles and build the foundation for an effective approach to school governance in the Arlington Public Schools.

11. Consultant Conditions:

- **a.** Travel expenses are not included as a separate allowable expense. Travel is considered overhead to the consultant.
- **b.** Advertising expenses will be funded by the district after the School Committee approves the marketing plan.
- **c.** The search will be deemed complete upon a signed contract with a new Superintendent.

If, after due consideration, the School Committee determines that it does not wish to appoint any of the candidates who have applied for the position, the Consultant must be willing to provide any assistance and aforementioned services with conducting a reopened search for Superintendent or Interim Superintendent.

Minimum Evaluation Criteria

- a. The Proposer must be available to execute this contract in time for a final vote to hire no later than March 26, 2020.
- b. The Proposer is available to make a presentation and be interviewed at a scheduled meeting no earlier than February 24, 2020 and no later than March 12, 2020.

V. Comparative Evaluation Criteria

1. Proposer's Experience

Highly Advantageous: The Proposer has five (5) or more years experience in conducting successful executive searches with public school systems, other educational institutions, and other non-profit organizations, and during the past five (5) years has concluded at least three (3) successful searches for a School Superintendent in Massachusetts, one (1) of which must have been for a district of similar size and demographics as Arlington.

Advantageous: The Proposer has at least three (3) years experience in successful executive search and hiring processes and has concluded at least two (2) successful executive search for Superintendents with Massachusetts public school systems.

Not Advantageous: The Proposer has fewer than three (3) years experience in successful executive search and hiring processes and has concluded only one (1) successful executive searches for a Superintendent.

Unacceptable: The Proposer has fewer than three (3) years experience in executive search and hiring processes and has not concluded any successful executive searches for a Superintendent.

2. Evaluation of the Proposed Plan:

Highly Advantageous: The proposal contains a clear and comprehensive plan that addresses all the objectives stated in the Scope of Service and Proposal Submission Requirements.

Advantageous: The proposal contains a clear plan that addresses most of the project objectives stated in the Scope of Service and Proposal Submission Requirements.

Not Advantageous: The proposal does not contain a clear plan.

Unacceptable: The proposal fails to meet the objectives stated in the Scope of Service and Proposal Submission Requirements.

3. Proposer's Recruitment Materials

Highly Advantageous: The Proposer includes samples of advertisements, brochures and other forms of candidate outreach from at least five (5) different Superintendent searches with the proposal, at least one (1) of which involves a Superintendent search in Massachusetts.

Advantageous: The Proposer includes samples of advertisements, brochures and other forms of candidate outreach from at least three (3) different executive searches with the proposal, at least one (1) of which involves a Superintendent search.

Not Advantageous: The Proposer includes three (3) or fewer samples of advertisements, brochures, and other forms of candidate outreach with the proposal, none of which involve a Superintendent search.

Unacceptable: The Proposer does not include samples of advertisements, brochures or other forms of candidate outreach.

4. Evaluation of Interview Presentation

The Superintendent Search Process Subcommittee and/or the School Committee may schedule interviews with any Proposer. Interviews will be ranked as follows:

Highly Advantageous: Proposer for this project was present, clearly stated a plan of action, demonstrated excellent communications skills, presented other personnel with experience and skills who will be assigned for the duration of this project, demonstrated awareness and understanding of the goals and objectives of the Arlington Public Schools, and successfully responded to all questions from the Superintendent Search Process Subcommittee and/or the School Committee.

Advantageous: Proposer for this project was present, outlined a plan of action, demonstrated excellent communication skills, presented other personnel with experience and skills who will be assigned for the duration of this project, demonstrated awareness and understanding of the goals and objectives of the Public Schools of Arlington, and successfully responded to most of the questions from the Superintendent Search Process Subcommittee and/or the School Committee.

Not Advantageous: Proposer for this project was present but did not present a plan of action, or was unable to communicate effectively, or presented other personnel who did not have the experience and skills to work on the project, did not demonstrate awareness and understanding of the goals and objectives of the Public Schools of Arlington, and/or would not be assigned for the duration of this project or did not successfully respond to questions from the Superintendent Search Process Subcommittee and/or the School Committee .

Unacceptable: Proposer for this project was not present and did not present a plan of action, or was unable to communicate effectively, or presented other personnel who did not have the

experience and skills to work on the project, demonstrated awareness and understanding of the goals and objectives of the Public Schools of Arlington, and/or would not be assigned for the duration of this project or did not successfully respond to questions from the Superintendent Search Process Subcommittee and/or the School Committee .

5. Presentation:

Highly Advantageous: The Proposer's presentation was clear, well organized and demonstrated both effective communication skills and an understanding of the particular needs of the Arlington Public Schools community.

Advantageous: The Proposer's presentation was clear, well organized and demonstrated effective and sensitive communication skills.

Not Advantageous: The Proposer's presentation was disorganized or did not demonstrate effective communication skills.

Unacceptable: The Proposer's presentation demonstrated a clear lack of communication skills.



Financial terms of a potential consulting agreement with the Massachusetts Association of School Committees

Summary:



Overview of the search process and next steps, including remote focus groups and surveys



Adjournment



Submitted by Paul Schlichtman, Chair